



175

Naturam Expellas Furca

Tamen Usque Recurret

WISE USE MOVEMENT

22 April 2000

USDA-Forest Service
Content Analysis Enterprise Team
Attn: EFP, Building 2, Suite 295
5500 Amelia Earhart Drive
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

Dear USDA-Forest Service:

The Wise Use Movements supports the protection and preservation of our Federal public lands. We have reviewed the proposed Unified Federal Policy for ensuring a Watershed Approach to Federal Land and Resource Management that appeared in the 22 February 2000 Federal Register. The following are the comments of the Wise Use Movement.

In general, we support the goals of enhanced pollution prevention, improving monitoring, restoring watershed, identifying waters of exceptional value and the use of TMDLs in Federal agency planning and programs.

However, the proposed policy would be significantly strengthened by adding the following elements:


- a) Establish a zero-cut on forested federal lands in order to help achieve the goals of the Federal Clean Water Act. Historically, the National Forest Reserve system was established to protect watersheds and timber harvesting was prohibited. [See "Conservation and the Gospel of Efficiency: The Progressive Conservation Movement 1890-1920", by Samuel P. Hayes] It is time to return to the original intent of the National Forest Reserves.
- b) Carry out road removal and restoration on forested Federal lands to help achieve the goals of the Federal Clean Water Act. Road building continues to be one of the most damaging activities impacting water quality.
- c) Although it says the proposed policy will not affect water rights, Federal land and resource agencies should support a moratorium on new water right permit withdrawal by the States where rivers and streams do not currently meet water quality standards or have been overallocated.
- d) Reject the use of Habitat Conservation Plans that do not protect aquatic and other endangered species.
- e) Reduce and phase out grazing on Federal public lands. Historically, the National Forest Reserve system was established to protect watersheds and grazing was prohibited. It is time to return to the original intent of the National Forest Reserves.

CAET RECEIVED

175

- f) Carry out a clean water action plan policy that addresses "biological integrity" of our federal lands, not just chemical water pollution parameters.
- g) Carry out a review of all petroleum pipelines crossing public lands, since pipelines can be a major source of pollution on federal lands. In addition, Federal land agencies should immediately require all petroleum pipelines on Federal public lands to undergo both hydrostatic and smart pig testing. All pipe segments installed prior to 1970 should be replaced and Federal land agencies should review all right-of-way permits. Fees of at least \$1 per foot per year should be charged for pipelines crossing Federal public lands.
- h) Support a policy on net gain of wetlands on federal public lands and oppose projects that result in wetland filling.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Please send us a copy of any final policy adopted on this proposal.

Sincerely,

David E. Ortman
Executive Director

CAET RECEIVED

APR 27 2006

175

Subject: Comments on Unified Federal Policy
Sender: deom /Internet (deom@jps.net)
Attached Date: 04/23/00 00:51
Priority: normal
Sensitivity: normal
Importance: normal

Part 1

TO: cleanwater / wo, caet-slc
FROM: deom / Internet
DDT1=RFC-822; DDV1=deom@jps.net;

Part 2

ARPA MESSAGE HEADER

Part 3

Via mail and e-mail.
=====

Naturam Expellas Furca Tamen Usque Recurret

WISE USE MOVEMENT
P.O. Box 17804
Seattle, WA 98107

22 April 2000

USDA-Forest Service
Content Analysis Enterprise Team
Attn: EFP, Building 2, Suite 295
5500 Amelia Earhart Drive
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

Dear USDA-Forest Service:

The Wise Use Movements supports the protection and preservation of our Federal public lands. We have reviewed the proposed Unified Federal Policy for ensuring a Watershed Approach to Federal Land and Resource Management that appeared in the 22 February 2000 Federal Register. The following are the comments of the Wise Use Movement.

In general, we support the goals of enhanced pollution prevention, improving monitoring, restoring watershed, identifying waters of exceptional value and the use of TMDLs in Federal agency planning and programs.

However, the proposed policy would be significantly strengthened by adding the following elements:

- a) Establish a zero-cut on forested federal lands in order to help achieve the goals of the Federal Clean Water Act. Historically, the National Forest Reserve system was established to protect watersheds and timber harvesting was prohibited. [See "Conservation and the Gospel of Efficiency: The Progressive Conservation Movement 1890-1920", by Samuel P. Hayes] It is time to return to the original intent of the National Forest Reserves.
- b) Carry out road removal and restoration on forested Federal lands to help achieve the goals of the Federal Clean Water Act. Road building continues to be one of the most damaging activities impacting water quality.
- c) Although it says the proposed policy will not affect water rights, Federal land and resource agencies should support a moratorium on new water right permit withdrawal by the States where rivers and streams do not

175

- currently meet water quality standards or have been overallocated.
- d) Reject the use of Habitat Conservation Plans that do not protect aquatic and other endangered species.
 - e) Reduce and phase out grazing on Federal public lands. Historically, the National Forest Reserve system was established to protect watersheds and grazing was prohibited. It is time to return to the original intent of the National Forest Reserves.
 - f) Carry out a clean water action plan policy that addresses "biological integrity" of our federal lands, not just chemical water pollution parameters.
 - g) Carry out a review of all petroleum pipelines crossing public lands, since pipelines can be a major source of pollution on federal lands. In addition, Federal land agencies should immediately require all petroleum pipelines on Federal public lands to undergo both hydrostatic and smart pig testing. All pipe segments installed prior to 1970 should be replaced and Federal land agencies should review all right-of-way permits. Fees of at least \$1 per foot per year should be charged for pipelines crossing Federal public lands.
 - h) Support a policy on net gain of wetlands on federal public lands and oppose projects that result in wetland filling.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Please send us a copy of any final policy adopted on this proposal.

Sincerely,

David E. Ortman
Executive Director

175

Via mail and e-mail.
=====

Naturam Expellas Furca

Tamen Usque Recurret

WISE USE MOVEMENT
P.O. Box 17804
Seattle, WA 98107

22 April 2000

USDA-Forest Service
Content Analysis Enterprise Team
Attn: EFP, Building 2, Suite 295
5500 Amelia Earhart Drive
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

Dear USDA-Forest Service:

The Wise Use Movements supports the protection and preservation of our Federal public lands. We have reviewed the proposed Unified Federal Policy for ensuring a Watershed Approach to Federal Land and Resource Management that appeared in the 22 February 2000 Federal Register. The following are the comments of the Wise Use Movement.

In general, we support the goals of enhanced pollution prevention, improving monitoring, restoring watershed, identifying waters of exceptional value and the use of TMDLs in Federal agency planning and programs.

However, the proposed policy would be significantly strengthened by adding the following elements:

- a) Establish a zero-cut on forested federal lands in order to help achieve the goals of the Federal Clean Water Act. Historically, the National Forest Reserve system was established to protect watersheds and timber harvesting was prohibited. [See "Conservation and the Gospel of Efficiency: The Progressive Conservation Movement 1890-1920", by Samuel P. Hayes] It is time to return to the original intent of the National Forest Reserves.
- b) Carry out road removal and restoration on forested Federal lands to help achieve the goals of the Federal Clean Water Act. Road building continues to be one of the most damaging activities impacting water quality.
- c) Although it says the proposed policy will not affect water rights, Federal land and resource agencies should support a moratorium on new water right permit withdrawal by the States where rivers and streams do not currently meet water quality standards or have been overallocated.
- d) Reject the use of Habitat Conservation Plans that do not protect aquatic and other endangered species.
- e) Reduce and phase out grazing on Federal public lands. Historically, the National Forest Reserve system was established to protect watersheds and grazing was prohibited. It is time to return to the original intent of the National Forest Reserves.
- f) Carry out a clean water action plan policy that addresses "biological integrity" of our federal lands, not just chemical water pollution parameters.
- g) Carry out a review of all petroleum pipelines crossing public lands, since pipelines can be a major source of pollution on federal lands. In addition, Federal land agencies should immediately require all petroleum pipelines on Federal public lands to undergo both hydrostatic and smart pig testing. All pipe segments installed prior to 1970 should be replaced and Federal land agencies should review all right-of-way permits. Fees of at least \$1 per foot per year should be charged for pipelines crossing Federal public lands.
- h) Support a policy on net gain of wetlands on federal public lands and oppose projects that result in wetland filling.

175

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Please send us a copy of any final policy adopted on this proposal.

Sincerely,

David E. Ortman
Executive Director